

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,934	06/20/2001	Dusic Kwak		3722
7590 11/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Dusic Kwak			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL	
7003- B Evergreen Court Annandale, VA 22003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3622	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/883,934	KWAK, DUSIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Bekerman	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing of the provision of the provision of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing the provision of the pro	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re lication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become AB/	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed						
<i>,</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2001 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanthe correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/2001.</li> </ul>	= -	)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 06/20/2001 has been placed in the application file, but contains no references. Therefore, no IDS information has been considered.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 4a, 4b, 4i, 13, 14a, 14b, and 14i. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 5 requires the incentives package to be offered at a fee. Claim 5, however, depends from claim 1, which states that the incentives package is cost free.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "mid-sized", used numerous times throughout claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "mid-sized" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one

Art Unit: 3622

of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to what makes a website qualify as mid-sized.

- 7. The term "low-cost" in claim 1(h) is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "low-cost" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to what price personnel is paid to make them qualify as low-cost.
- 8. The term "at little or no labor" in claim 1(h) is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "at little or no labor" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to how much labor could qualify as little.
- 9. The terms "operates culturally, economically, and geographically" in claim 1(a) are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. The terms "operates culturally, economically, and geographically " are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to how exactly a community would go about operating culturally, economically, and geographically.
- 10. The term "economically situated" in claim 1(h) is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "economically situated" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one

Application/Control Number: 09/883,934 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to what limitations should be met for a potential operator group to be considered economically situated.

11. The term "low fee" in claim 2 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "low fee" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to how high a fee can be to still qualify as low.

12. Claims 1-8 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3622

14. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> in view of <a href="www.myfreeecom.com">www.myfreeecom.com</a>. Applicant admits that <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> is a website that consolidates pre-existing commercial websites of college newspapers and provides them with free website software. Examiner used <a href="www.archive.org">www.archive.org</a> to view a February 2001 cached copy of <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 1 parts (a) and (b), official notice is taken that it is well known to perform market research on potential users of a service. It is also well known to choose different parameters on which to judge qualified users (such as population). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform market research and select a community in which a marketing campaign would begin. This would give <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> a starting point on which to being a marketing campaign.

Regarding claim 1 part (c), <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> markets the software program towards college newspapers. Due to the target market, Examiner considers <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> to have already determined what type of template website application is needed.

Regarding claim 1 part (d), <u>www.insidecollege.com</u> has a prepared template website application (E-commerce Suite 2.0) available for it's members.

Regarding claim 1 part (e), the incentives package of <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>
does not offer to host a webpage, nor does it give permission to a college newspaper to keep all revenue generated by the webpage. <a href="www.myfreeecom.com">www.myfreeecom.com</a> gives an incentive

Art Unit: 3622

package including free web development and free web hosting (Paragraph 2 in related article). <a href="www.myfreeecom.com">www.myfreeecom.com</a> also offers these features free of cost and with no strings attached (Paragraphs 3 and 6 in related article). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to offer any type of incentives package (including the one specified by <a href="www.myfreeecom.com">www.myfreeecom.com</a>) to a college newspaper. This would allow for a greater possibility of a college newspaper joining up with <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 1 parts (f) and (g), official notice is taken that these are well-known methods of listing, ordering, and selecting (in relation to the order) criteria in order to determine the most adequate target market. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange qualified communities by the most qualified, and then to choose the most qualified community to begin the marketing campaign. This would allow for a higher success rate of the marketing campaign of <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 1 parts (h) and (i), official notice is taken that these are well known methods of listing, ordering, and selecting (in relation to the order) criteria in order to further narrow the most adequate target. It is also well known to choose different parameters on which to judge qualified users (such as economic standing, or college). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further narrow the market research to select a the best qualified commercial or non-commercial entity. This would allow for a higher success rate of the marketing campaign of <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Art Unit: 3622

Regarding claim 1 parts (j), (l), and (m), applicant admits that <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> offers an incentive package to college newspapers, cost free, in exchange for the use of website traffic. By providing the E-commerce Suite 2.0 software to a college newspaper, <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> is assisting in the set-up and running of the newspaper website.

Regarding claim 1 part (k), official notice is taken that it is well known to select another target entity should the original target entity not agree to the terms and conditions of a relationship. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose another college should the intended college reject the license agreement. This would allow <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> to have a backup plan should the original plan fall through.

Regarding claim 1 part (n), official notice is taken that it is well known to market towards all communities included on the original target marketing list. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to market website solution software to more than one community on a target marketing list. This would allow <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> to gain more benefit from the software package that is being distributed.

Regarding claim 1 part (o), <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> is a consolidated commercial website that uses website traffic from college newspaper sites.

Regarding claim 3, the E-commerce Suite 2.0 offered by <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> is considered a non-monetary incentive. The enhanced ability

Art Unit: 3622

to make more money through advertisement revenue is considered a monetary incentive, which is also offered by <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 4, <a href="www.insidercollege.com">www.insidercollege.com</a> only offers the website template application in the incentives package. <a href="www.freeecom.com">www.freeecom.com</a> also offers many other features including email (Paragraph 7 in related article). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to offer more incentives to a college newspaper. This would allow for a greater possibility of a college newspaper joining up with <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 5, the condition that <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> may use college newspaper traffic is considered a fee.

Regarding claim 6, the license is received by <a href="www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> at a fee. The providing of an incentives package to the college newspaper is considered a fee.

Regarding claim 7, official notice is taken that it is well known to market to as many different communities as possible. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select more than one type of community. By developing and marketing to more than one community, more money could be made for <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a>.

Regarding claim 8, <a href="https://www.insidecollege.com">www.insidecollege.com</a> offers both Advertising Agency and E-commerce Suite as template website applications.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,934 Page 10

Art Unit: 3622

#### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following reference is cited to further show the state of the art in reference to E-commerce platform providers:

U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0032154 to Schummer

The following reference is cited to further show the state of the art in reference to free web creation and hosting services:

Heltzel, Paul. "Homestead Helps Build Sweet Home Page". PC World.com. Feb 21, 2000. Pg. 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bekerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,934 Page 11

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MB

JEFFREY D. CARLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER